

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA**

---

**Everett Haines,**

**Civil No. 06-860 (DSD-JJG)**

Petitioner,

v.

**R.L. Morrison,**

Respondent.

---

**REPORT  
AND  
RECOMMENDATION**

JEANNE J. GRAHAM, United States Magistrate Judge

This matter comes before the undersigned on Everett Haines' petition for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (Doc. No. 1). Mr. Haines (Haines) claims the Bureau of Prisons (BOP) did not properly consider his request for placement in a halfway house. The dispute is referred to this Court for a report and recommendation in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636 and Local Rule 72.1(c).

Haines was incarcerated at the federal prison in Duluth. He alleges that he requested early placement at a halfway house, and that prison officials categorically denied this request under 28 C.F.R. § 570.21. Haines argues that this regulation violates the directions set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3621(b). Thus he asks that prison officials be required to reconsider his request for placement at a halfway house, applying the directions set out in the statute and disregarding the regulation.

After frequent litigation of this issue in federal district courts, the Eighth Circuit considered whether the regulation violated the statute in *Fults v. Sanders*. 442 F.3d 1088 (8th Cir. 2006). The court concluded that, because the regulation did not appropriately exercise the discretionary criteria set out in the statute, the regulation was invalid. *Id.* at 1092.

Although the BOP has not done so in the current litigation, the BOP has conceded elsewhere that its regulations are invalid. And in a recently filed motion to dismiss, the BOP has disclosed that Haines was released from custody in September 2006. For these reasons, it is no longer possible to grant Haines the relief he seeks in his petition, and so his petition may be appropriately denied as moot.

Being duly advised of all the files, records, and proceedings herein, **IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED THAT:**

1. Haines' petition for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 (Doc. No. 1) be

**DENIED AS MOOT.**

2. The BOP's motion to dismiss (Doc. No. 12) be **DENIED AS MOOT.**

Dated this 14th day of May, 2007.

s/Jeanne J. Graham

---

JEANNE J. GRAHAM  
United States Magistrate Judge

### **NOTICE**

Pursuant to Local Rule 72.2(b), any party may object to this report and recommendation by filing and serving specific, written objections by June 1, 2007. A party may respond to the objections within ten days after service. Any objections or responses filed under this rule shall not exceed 3,500 words. The District Court shall make a de novo determination of those portions to which objection is made. Failure to comply with this procedure shall operate as a forfeiture of the objecting party's right to seek review in the United States Court of Appeals for the Eighth Circuit.